

Table 10. Dunn post hoc comparisons for self-perceived success categories

Comparison	<i>z</i>	<i>W_i</i>	<i>W_j</i>	<i>rrb</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>pBonf</i>	<i>pHolm</i>
Panel A. Positive Worry (F1)							
No vs Partially	-1.138	137.889	159.000	0.159	.255	1.000	1.000
No vs Not yet	-0.162	137.889	141.167	0.029	.871	1.000	1.000
No vs Yes	-0.365	137.889	142.947	0.032	.715	1.000	1.000
Partially vs Not yet	0.844	159.000	141.167	-0.146	.399	1.000	1.000
Partially vs Yes	1.059	159.000	142.947	-0.106	.290	1.000	1.000
Not yet vs Yes	-0.104	141.167	142.947	0.010	.917	1.000	1.000
Panel B. Negative Worry (F2)							
No vs Partially	0.570	194.822	184.250	-0.060	.569	1.000	.569
No vs Not yet	1.998	194.822	154.463	-0.295	.046	.275	.183
No vs Yes	5.294	194.822	121.550	-0.511	< .001	< .001	< .001
Partially vs Not yet	1.410	184.250	154.463	-0.208	.159	.952	.317
Partially vs Yes	4.136	184.250	121.550	-0.434	< .001	< .001	< .001
Not yet vs Yes	1.921	154.463	121.550	-0.233	.055	.329	.183

Source: The authors

Note: Comparisons were performed across the four categories of self-perceived success (AS1). CI = confidence interval; *SE* = standard error; *df* = degrees of freedom. *p*Tukey values were adjusted using the Tukey method. Confidence intervals for Cohen's *d* were adjusted using the Bonferroni method. Values are rounded to three decimal places.